

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

						N
ſ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
Ī	08/952,741	11/25/97	HATADA		γ	2173-1U6F
٢	-002292 BIRCH STEWA PO BOX 747	RT KOLASCH	HM12/0607 % BIRCH	' ¬	EXAMINER SLOBODYANSKY, E	
	FALLS CHURC	H VA 22040	-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					DATE MAILED:	06/07/81

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Advisory Action

Application No. 08/952,741

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Elizabeth Slobodyansky

Group Art Unit

Hatada et al.

1652



TH	E PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]								
	a) 🔲	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
	b) 🗌	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.								
	date on determi	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.								
		ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>May 31, 2001</u> (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).								
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>May 31, 2001</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:										
X	The proposed amendment(s):									
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.										
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).								
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).								
 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>Amendment of claim 3 does not change its scope and rejections. Amendment of claims 22-24 broaden</u> 										
					their scope and requires in addition further consideration under 112, 1st paragraph, as to how encoding a protein of unknown function.					
						•	plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): claims 2, 5-7 and 14 under 112, 2nd paragraph.			
X		proposed or amended claims								
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.									
X	For pu	or purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):								
	Claims allowed: 12 and 13									
	Claim	Claims objected to:								
		s rejected: <u>2-7, 14-16, and 20-24</u>								
	The p	oposed drawing correction filed on								
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)									
	Other	ELIZABETH SLOBODYANSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER								

ART UNIT 1652